REMARKS

Applicants would like to thank Examiner Rivera for the helpful discussion with "Applicants' representative on October 20, 2004 and November 4, 2004. In this interview, Applicants noted that the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office's Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system listed the present application as "Allowed"; however, it did not appear that the Notice of Allowance had been mailed. In addition, an electronic copy of the Notice was not available to Applicants' representative on the PAIR system. Applicants requested a copy of the Notice to be sent by facsimile to (312) 321-4299, in view of the impending expiration of the Period for Reply on November 4, 2004.

In response to this request, Examiner Rivera confirmed that the present application had indeed been allowed. However, Applicants were informed that a copy of the Notice was unavailable, as the file was undergoing a pre-issuance review in another department of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office.

Accordingly, Applicants are <u>not</u> filing a Notice of Appeal or a Request for Continued Examination in this application prior to the expiration of the Period for Reply to the Final Office Action mailed May 4, 2004. Applicants are acting on the basis that the application has been allowed, as confirmed by the Examiner and by the PAIR system. A printout of the information for this application as available on the PAIR system on November 4, 2004 is attached herewith.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, all of the grounds raised for rejecting the application are believed to have been overcome or rendered moot, and Applicants understand that the application has been allowed. Based on the Amendment and Request for Reconsideration filed July 1, 2004, Claims 3-5, 9, 10, 15, 16 and 21-34 should be allowed.

No fees or petitions are believed to be due in connection with filing of this Statement of Substance of Interview. However, should any petition for extension of time under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) and/or any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 to 1.21 be deemed necessary for any reason relating to these material, that petition is hereby made, and/or the Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct said fees from Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione Deposit Account No..23-1925. A duplicate copy of this document is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

November 4, 2004

Jonathan F. Taylor, Ph.D. Registration No. 48,338 Agent for Applicant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS-60610 (312) 321-4200

REMARKS

Applicants would like to thank Examiner Rivera for the helpful discussion with Applicants' representative on October 20, 2004 and November 4, 2004. In this interview, Applicants noted that the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office's Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system listed the present application as "Allowed"; however, it did not appear that the Notice of Allowance had been mailed. In addition, an electronic copy of the Notice was not available to Applicants' representative on the PAIR system. Applicants requested a copy of the Notice to be sent by facsimile to (312) 321-4299, in view of the impending expiration of the Period for Reply on November 4, 2004.

In response to this request, Examiner Rivera confirmed that the present application had indeed been allowed. However, Applicants were informed that a copy of the Notice was unavailable, as the file was undergoing a pre-issuance review in another department of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office.

Accordingly, Applicants are <u>not</u> filling a Notice of Appeal or a Request for Continued Examination in this application prior to the expiration of the Period for Reply to the Final Office Action mailed May 4, 2004. Applicants are acting on the basis that the application has been allowed, as confirmed by the Examiner and by the PAIR system. A printout of the information for this application as available on the PAIR system on November 4, 2004 is attached herewith.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, all of the grounds raised for rejecting the application are believed to have been overcome or rendered moot, and Applicants understand that the application has been allowed. Based on the Amendment and Request for Reconsideration filed July 1, 2004, Claims 3-5, 9, 10, 15, 16 and 21-34 should be allowed.

No fees or petitions are believed to be due in connection with filling of this Statement of Substance of Interview. However, should any petition for extension of time under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) and/or any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 to 1.21 be deemed necessary for any reason relating to these material, that petition is hereby made, and/or the Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct said fees from Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione Deposit Account No. 23-1925. A duplicate copy of this document is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

November 4, 2004

Jonathan P. Taylor, Ph.D. Registration No. 48,338 Agent for Applicant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610 (312) 321-4200



United State's Patent and Trademark Office

Home | Site Index | Search | FAQ | Glossary | Guides | Contacts | eBusiness | eBiz alerts | News | Help

PATENT APPLICATION INFORMATION RETRIEVAL



Search results as of: 11-4-2004::13:8:29 E.T.

Sear	ch results for app	olication numbe	r: 10/036,863
7A II 41 A		-Customer - Number:	
Filing or 371(c) Date:	12-21-2001 -	- Status:	Allowed - Notice of Allowance Not Yet Mailed
Application Type:	Utility	Status Date:	10-26-2004
Examiner Name:	RIVERA, WILLIAM ARAUZ	- Location:	ELECTRONIC
Group Art Unit:	3654	Location Date:	
Confirmation Number:	4027	Earliest Publication No:	US 2003-0116674 A1
Attorney Docket Number:	659/776	Earliest Publication Date:	111Ka7Ka7(B1.5
Class/ Sub-Class:	242/541.2	Patent Number:	-
First Named	Gerald Sosalla, Appleton, WI (US)	Issue Date of Patent:	
	CONTINUOUS MOTIO	N CORELESS ROLL	WINDER

Search Options

Image File Wrapper
Published Documents

	File History
Date	Contents Description
10-26-2004	Issue Revision Completed
10-26-2004	Notice of Allowance Data Verification Completed
08-09-2004	Notice of Allowability
08-02-2004	Date Forwarded to Examiner
07-06-2004	Amendment after Final Rejection
07-06-2004	Workflow-incoming amendment IFW
05-04-2004	Mall Final Rejection (PTOL - 326)
05-03-2004	Final Rejection
01-30-2004	Date Forwarded to Examiner
01-09-2004	Response after Non-Final Action
- 01-09-2004	Request for Extension of Time - Granted
07-07-2003	Mail Non-Final Rejection
06-30-2003	Non-Final Rejection
05-27-2003	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
01-10-2003	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
06-04-2002	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU

03-19-2002	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
05-08-2002	Application Dispatched from OIPE
- 05-07-2002	Application Is Now Complete
04-15-2002	Payment of additional filing fee/Preexam
04-15-2002	An assertion that the nature of the legal entitles having property rights to the patent authorizes t
04-15-2002	A statement by one or more inventors satisfying the requirement under 35 US
01-29-2002	Notice Mailed:-Application Incomplete-Filing Date Assigned
. 01-16-2002 -	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review
12-21-2001	Initial Exam Team nn

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

efects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
□ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER.

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.